
Everyone needs to see this

Posted by sensorium - 2005/05/27 03:05

Totally off topic, but anyone who hasn't seen this is missing out....

<http://www.ntk.net/media/dancemonkeyboy.mpg>

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by chiasticon - 2005/05/27 03:32

wow! priceless....

I got four words: I hate this company :-D

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by milkmansnd - 2005/05/27 04:36

my four words:

I am using MAC

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by cchocjr - 2005/05/27 05:21

1. Sit
2. The
3. Fuck
4. Down!

...my four words for this freak.

cchocjr

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by udenjoe - 2005/05/27 06:58

A bit old but...

Greed brings the primitive out of people.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/27 07:06

Haha this is one of the reasons i've switched to apple :-) What a moron.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by jotsif - 2005/05/27 07:30

Now youre being rude boys =)

ive think steve Ballmer is that "jump around and scream" kind of guy.

Copypasted from wikipedia : "Steve Ballmer can be so zealous in expressing his enthusiasm that once his vocal cords required surgery after he screamed "Windows, Windows, Windows" continously at a Japan meeting in 1991"

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Tobi - 2005/05/27 08:25

Hehe, funny boy. But this won't make me switch to the diva-system ;-)

I use linux most of the time and windows for the music stuff if I really need a computer for it.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/27 13:08

Well i actually use Windows, Linux, Unix and mac os x. But i prefer macosx for desktop use and music production.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by milkmansnd - 2005/05/27 16:00

I have a windows machine as well - but I rarely use it.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Tobi - 2005/05/27 17:50

I must admit that I use it a lot. I use Linux at work all the time but not at home.

And I must also admit that the Windows stability is fairly improved in XP. It never crashes. Also an Ableton staff-member told me that they're optimizing on windows, not on mac and if he had the choice he would always buy a x86 notebook.

It's not that I don't like macs but I see no reason (for me) in using them/paying a lot for them, beneath the stylish hardware/boxes and the stylish interface(which would annoy me too soon)...

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/27 18:07

Well i know the stablity has improved but nog enough out of the box. I use Cubase SX2 on XP and it does crash... And trust me i got A parts... So it's not stable enough.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by milkmansnd - 2005/05/27 18:09

they dont need to optimize for mac - cause they know the thing wont crash. Live has never even caused the slightest error on either of my macs. I have never used it on windows though - the only reason I have the PC at all is for copying DVD's and using recycle/bluebox for my MPC1000 - and cool edit pro.

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Tobi - 2005/05/27 18:38

@mokbor:

yeah, cubase sucks hard on windows. That's the reason why I don't use it. But cubase is gay anyway. Samplitude is a great program and it has really advanced features. Even if all other Magix applications suck. IMHO. Now throw stones at me ;-)

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by - 2005/05/27 18:54

on new powerbooks live does crazy things... It's useless.

And if we're not talking stability then I can easily say that a 1000 \$ pc equals a 2500\$ desktop mac in performance. I use both and I'm not talkin bout mac vs. pc directly. This is about how live performs on both platforms

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by milkmansnd - 2005/05/27 19:23

thats absurd. I mean, think about what a \$2500 mac is - my dual G4 was purchased for \$1,200 new from a mac dealer. This thing can handle anything thrown at it. For over twice the money you can most likely get the dual G5 - no PC is gonna come close that costs \$1000 - even a dual athalon whatever.

I dont know man, my older G3 ibook runs live quite well. The only thing slowing it down is the speed of the internal drive - the same will be true for even the newest powerbook. Laptop drives are generally 4500 RPM - thats too slow for live. However, when you run live off of an external drive over firewire a laptop will blow your mind with what it can do.

If you have a powerbook running live and you are having issues, its probably more to do with user error than the CPU. You can probably run live off of a wristwatch - its effiecent and compact - however, where your samples are loading from is a completely different story altogether.

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by chiasicon - 2005/05/27 19:52

well, Live *4* runs better on a PC than a Mac. but that's mainly because of the plugins being used and the fact that, as mentioned, Ableton doesn't try to optimize them for Macs at all (though they use Powerbooks to demo their software in every video I've seen; for stability perhaps?).

but having a Mac/PC debate and only using Live as the benchmark is silly; there *are* other audio apps out there, you know. both platforms are capable of running them and both have their high/low points. so neither is best for everyone or every application. it just comes down to what you like using, what you're used to and what runs your favorite app most efficiently and with the most stability.

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/27 20:37

Yeah i didn't want to start an OS war :)

So it's all down to personal preference...

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by - 2005/05/27 21:18

IMO having both or the third Linux is the best possible answer. It comes down to different OS structure and what you use each machine for, what kind of work you are doing.

The thing I like about Mac is that everything is pretty much in front of you. You can drop a program in the bin and it's erased. You don't have to defrag. You don't have any viruses. I also like the fact that the Powerbook has a built in mic and line input. BTW, with the apple care we pretty much have a new powerbook right now, new screen, new drives, etc.

PC is good for business apps and games since some sites like the federal student aid site requires a PC to apply. Some banks, I know at least in Korea, only use PC. If you are into games PC is good too. It's a good common platform.

But c'mon nobody has that much excitement unless they are a CEO of a multinational multibillion dollar government funded and protected private company.

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Acidfever - 2005/05/27 22:06

milkmansnd wrote:

thats absurd. I mean, think about what a \$2500 mac is - my dual G4 was purchased for \$1,200 new from a mac dealer. This thing can handle anything thrown at it. For over twice the money you can most likely get the dual G5 - no PC is gonna come close that costs \$1000 - even a dual athalon whatever.

Ah another victim of the cleverly thought out synthetic benchmarks Apple puts out there. Look at those high scores in that benchmark!!!! (off course nthey are high, they selected this test because the G5 is good at it).

Just because a mac outperforms a pc on photoshop doesn't make this a fact for every application.

As someone said before. It's no use starting some OS war and i'm not going to. Just accept the fact that modern PC outperform any mac out there today. Saying a 1000 Dollar Pc outperforms a 2500 Dollar mac might be a bit over the top. But for a thousand dollars you are buying a very nice Athlon 64 system (without monitor). I'm thinking 3500+ or more.

Apple will have to find another way (and that way is Intel cpu's so the news goes round).

I btw also heard from friends that live runs shitty on mac compared to how it runs on pc. Shame.....

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Acidfever - 2005/05/27 22:10

MokBor wrote:

Well i know the stablity has improved but nog enough out of the box. I use Cubase SX2 on XP and it does crash... And trust me i got A parts... So it's not stable enough.

Blame steinberg first.....why do other apps run stable and this one doesn't?

Also A parts doesn't mean everything. A lot of A parts don't have A drivers. And this is where things go wrong a lot.

I've spend a lot of my professional career finding sollutions for problems like these (as a systems/network engineer) and found the problem often resided in badly written drivers. This can make or break the stability of an OS.

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by NoiseLab - 2005/05/28 01:06

Well I'm just a Apple freak, but for the Wintel. Can you run an session on program 'X' from your own Wintel without any problems on all other Wintels?

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Tobi - 2005/05/28 07:22

depends on the program you are using ;)
and if not, the developer of the program is to blame in 99.9% of the cases ;-)

Windows simply developed. And even if I hate Microsoft, I must admit that they did a good job. And Microsofts job is far harder than the job that Apple does - Apple has to optimize it's software for their own hardware. They can really boost it with ease while Microsoft has to test it on thousands of systems and after those tests it has to run on hundred of millions of different systems. They all have different hardware-configuration, which makes it nearly impossible to let it run well on all of them. With the NT-line, they did a good job.

The same thing for linux - it's even a little bit more complex because it isn't platform dependent to x86.

Just my 22c ;)

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/28 08:25

Acidfever wrote:

MokBor wrote:

Well i know the stablity has improved but nog enough out of the box. I use Cubase SX2 on XP and it does crash... And trust me i got A parts... So it's not stable enough.

Blame steinberg first.....why do other apps run stable and this one doesn't?

Also A parts doesn't mean everything. A lot of A parts don't have A drivers. And this is where things go wrong a lot.

I've spend a lot of my professional career finding sollutions for problems like these (as a systems/network engineer) and found the problem often resided in badly written drivers. This can make or break the stability of an OS.

Yes i know that. I build pc systems quite alot. I also have an ICT training/job, so i know what the deal is. I won't blame steinberg, becuase Windows XP needs alot of tweaking to get it stable enough(acpi, irq, good drivers) I almost always use Asus parts which have A drivers... So that can't be the problem.

The point is: macosx doesn't need _any_ audio configuring to play logic or cubase straight out the box. It saves much shit, i can can know becuase i use both systems for audio frequently. But maybe longhorn will finally make it better compatible for audio(native asio or a better WME)

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/28 08:36

Acidfever wrote:

Ah another victim of the cleverly thought out synthetic benchmarks Apple puts out there. Look at those high scores in that benchmark!!!! (off course nthey are high, they selected this test because the G5 is good at it).

Just because a mac outperforms a pc on photoshop doesn't make this a fact for every application.

True but the powermac g4 or g5 architecture has several advantages compared to x86, shorter pipelines etc. A Mac is much more powerfull that you think, i run logic 7 express with 2x times the 2600v(8voices per synth) and 5 times an altiverb without problems.(and a some other plugs aswell) And that's just a minimac.

As someone said before. It's no use starting some OS war and i'm not going to.

I think you've done that already :-D

Apple will have to find another way (and that way is Intel cpu's so the news goes round).

Also don't expect that to be a x86 cpu. Apple clearly stated before they don't like the way the x86 arch works, and that their keeping their own arch.(which it's ok, alsong as it's faster :-))

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by divi - 2005/05/28 11:18

i switched to mac little more than half a year and it works a MILLION times better for ME than PC ! it's all in the user experience h?, very personal...

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by sensorium - 2005/05/28 16:51

I also switched to MAC in the last year. Anyone who has used both and still says a PC is better is just in denial because they can't afford a MAC. There is NO COMPARISON!.....and this coming from an ex PC cheerleader.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/29 12:25

OK, and let's all stop now about the mac versus windows ranting.... Their both ok, it's just what you prefer.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Acidfever - 2005/05/29 12:59

MokBor wrote:

Acidfever wrote:

MokBor wrote:

Well i know the stablity has improved but nog enough out of the box. I use Cubase SX2 on XP and it does crash... And trust me i got A parts... So it's not stable enough.

Blame steinberg first.....why do other apps run stable and this one doesn't?

Also A parts doesn't mean everything. A lot of A parts don't have A drivers. And this is where things go wrong a lot.

I've spend a lot of my professional career finding sollutions for problems like these (as a systems/network engineer) and found the problem often resided in badly written drivers. This can make or break the stability of an OS.

Yes i know that. I build pc systems quite alot. I also have an ICT training/job, so i know what the deal is.
I won't blame steinberg, becuae Windows XP needs alot of tweaking to get it stable enough(acpi, irq, good drivers)
I almost always use Asus parts which have A drivers... So that can't be the problem.

The point is: macosx doesn't need any audio configuring to play logic or cubase straight out the box. It saves much shit,
i can can know becuae i use both systems for audio frequently. But maybe longhorn will finally make it better compatible
for audio(native asio or a better WME)

It could be that Asus had high wuality drivers 5 years ago but trust me on the fact that those days are gone. I have a lot
of Asus hardware because it's great quality. I do however never use Asus drivers because they are not as stable as i
would like them to be.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Acidfever - 2005/05/29 13:07

Tobi wrote:

depends on the program you are using ;)

and if not, the developer of the program is to blame in 99.9% of the cases ;-)

Windows simply developed. And even if I hate Microsoft, I must admit that they did a good job. And Microsofts job is far
harder than the job that Apple does - Apple has to optimize it's software for their own hardware. They can really boost it
with ease while Microsoft has to test it on thousands of systems and after those tests it has to run on hundred of millions
of different systems. They all have different hardware-configuration, which makes it nearly impossible to let it run well on
all of them. With the NT-line, they did a good job.

The same thing for linux - it's even a little bit more complex because it isn't platform dependent to x86.

Just my 22c ;)

Bingo! You are the first person i've ever heard of that realises this. Apple knows wich hardware they are coding for.
Microsoft has no idea what hardware they are coding for.

The only real "gray" area Apple has are sound and graphicscards. The rest is al own territory.

People that have good knowledge of PC hardware/ Digital electronics and software creation.

Basicly both are good platforms with their own haters and enthousiasts.

Who cares..... Too many apps i use run on X86 so no mac here. hehe

MAC hardware looks funky though!

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by udenjoe - 2005/05/29 18:44

These kind of discussions go nowhere. Anyway for the mac lovers and synth lovers, check this out.

Mac mini Synth Modules

Both are great platforms which can have their uses however you want. Me? I'm going to try to get this other PC working
right now. What is undeniably true is that two computers are better than one. Even better than that is having two or
three OSes.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by divi - 2005/05/29 21:05

Acidfever wrote:

Bingo! You are the first person i've ever heard of that realises this. Apple knows wich hardware they are coding for. Microsoft has no idea what hardware they are coding for.
genius! i'm sure no-one thought of this before ;)

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by milkmansnd - 2005/05/30 07:16

look at the price on that mac synth thing. Good lord! Show me someone who can afford that!

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by Tobi - 2005/05/30 08:09

well at the moment it looks like it's nothing more than a planned concept. I can't imagine that there will be anymore than two-five units of this thing, ever :)

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by chiasticon - 2005/05/30 17:44

milkmansnd wrote:

look at the price on that mac synth thing. Good lord! Show me someone who can afford that!well...we're talking about people that can afford modular synths (and Cynthia ones at that). so it's not completely out of the realm of possibility.

=====

Re: Everyone needs to see this

Posted by MokBor - 2005/05/30 20:37

milkman wrote:

look at the price on that mac synth thing. Good lord! Show me someone who can afford that!

;-) It does have a touch screen....

=====